



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/633,289	08/04/2000	Dongyan Wang	SAM1.0068	3259	
7590 03/15/2004			EXAMINER		
ATTEN: KENNETH L. SHERMAN, ESQ.			BURGESS, BARBARA N		
	ES ANDRAS & SHERM THUR BLVD.	IAN, LLP	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1150			2157		
IRVINE, CA	92612		DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004	9	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			- PRG
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1. 7
	09/633,289	WANG ET AL.	•
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<del></del>
	Barbara N Burgess	2157	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence addres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the management of the property of the prop	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  HS from the mailing date of this commu	nication.
Status			•
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	6 <u>December 2003</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ T	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-53</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to	- ' '	, ,	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	•	•	• •
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a line.</li> </ul>	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been reeau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stag	ge
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intensions of	ımmary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of References Cited (P10-892)</li> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7</u> .	(08) 5) Notice of Inf 6) Other:	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152 _·	)

Art Unit: 2157

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to amendments filed December 16, 2003. Claims 1-53 Presented for further consideration.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5, 8-22, 25-40, 43-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable over Hara et al. (hereinafter "Hara", 6,560,221 B1) in view of Newlin et al. (hereinafter "Newlin", 6,011,909).

As per claims 1, 18, 33, 36, 51, Hara discloses a method for providing user interfaces in a first network including first devices interconnected via a communication medium and at least one interface device connecting said first network to at least a second network providing services, the user interfaces for controlling the devices that are currently connected to the first network and furnishing services of the second network to at least a user, comprising the steps of:

in each of one or more devices in the first network:

(a) Obtaining information from one or more of said first devices currently connected to the first network, said information including device information (column 5, lines 47-50, 63-65, column 6, lines 10-15, 33-37, column 8, lines 25-36, 47-50); and

Art Unit: 2157

(b) Generating a description including:

- (1) At least one reference associated with the device information of each of said one or more first devices (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29); and
- (2) At least one reference associated with the services provided by the second network (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29).

Hara does not explicitly disclose generating a user interface description. However, in an analogous art, Newlin discloses providing an alert to the user interface during the first network communication session indicating the occurrence of the second network signal (abstract, column 4, lines 5-13).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate generating a user interface description in Hara's method in order for the user to be aware of an incoming signal.

As per claims 2, 19, 37, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the first network comprises a 1394 network, and the second network comprises a non-1394 network (column 5, lines 14-20, column 7, 4-8).

As per claims 3, 20, 38, Hara further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the interface device comprises a gateway device (column 4, lines 41-47, column 5, lines 28-35, column 6, lines 45-50).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 4, 21, 39, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the second network comprises a plurality of interconnected second devices providing one or more services (column 4, lines 49-60).

As per claims 5, 22, 40, Hara discloses the method of claim 4, wherein each of said second devices comprises at least one computer system programmed to provide services (column 4, lines 49-60).

As per claims 8, 25, 43, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein each reference in the user interface description associated to services provided by the second network comprises at least one hyper-text link to service information in the second network (column 9, lines 30-50).

As per claims 9,26, 44, Hara does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 1 further including the step of:

(a) Displaying a user interface based on said user interface description on a device connected to the first network capable of displaying a user interface, for user control of said first devices and communication with the second network. However, in an analogous art, Newlin discloses providing an alert to the user interface during the first network communication session indicating the occurrence of the second network signal (abstract, column 4, lines 5-13).

Art Unit: 2157

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate generating a user interface description in Hara's method in order for the user to be aware of an incoming signal.

As per claims 10, 27, 45, Hara further discloses the method of claim 9, wherein the step of displaying each user interface further includes the steps of:

Using each reference in the corresponding user interface description to access the associated information in each first device (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29);

Using each reference associated with services provided by the second network to access corresponding service information (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29).

Hara does not explicitly disclose:

Generating the user interface including:

(1) Information corresponding to each first device using the accessed information in each first device, and (2) service information; and displaying the user interface on said device capable of displaying a user interface (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29). However, in an analogous art, Newlin discloses providing an alert to the user interface during the first network communication session indicating the occurrence of the second network signal (abstract, column 4, lines 5-13).

Art Unit: 2157

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate generating a user interface description in Hara's method in order for the user to be aware of an incoming signal.

As per claims 11, 34, 52, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the step of generating a user interface description further comprises the steps of:

Associating a hyper-text link with the device information of one or more of said first devices, and associating at least a hyper-text link with the service information provided by the second network (column 9, lines 30-50).

As per claims 12, 35, 53, Hara does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 1, wherein: (1) the device information in each device in the first network includes a user interface description for user interaction with that device, and (2) the service information in the second network includes at least a user interface description for user interaction with a service. However, in an analogous art, Newlin discloses providing an alert to the user interface during the first network communication session indicating the occurrence of the second network signal (abstract, column 4, lines 5-13).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate generating a user interface description in Hara's method in order for the user to be aware of an incoming signal

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 13, 28, 46, Hara discloses the method of claim 1, wherein each reference associated with services provided by the second network comprises at least one hyper-text link to service information in the second network, wherein the service information comprises at least identification information representing a service (column 9, lines 30-50).

As per claims 14, 29, 47, Hara discloses the method of claim 13, wherein the identification information comprises a logo information file including a link to a logo graphic representing the service (column 9, lines 30-50).

As per claims 15, 30, 48, Hara further discloses the method of claim 1, wherein the second network includes at least a first portal for providing services, and a reference associated with services provided by the second network comprises at least one hypertext link to said first portal, wherein the first portal includes service information comprising at least identification information representing said services provided by the first portal (column 2, lines 27-35, 50-54, column 5, lines 59-67, column 8, lines 22-29, column 9, lines 30-50).

As per claims 16, 31, 49, Hara discloses the method of claim 15, wherein the said identification information in the first portal further comprises a hyper-link to service information provided by a second portal in the second network (column 9, lines 30-50).

Art Unit: 2157

As per claims 17, 32, 50, Hara the method of claim 16, wherein:

The second network comprises a plurality of interconnected computer systems programmed to provide services (column 4, lines 49-60);

The first portal comprises one or more of said computer systems providing services of the first portal (column 5, lines 47-50, 63-65, column 6, lines 10-15, 33-37, column 8, lines 25-36, 47-50); and

The second portal comprises one or more of said computer systems providing services of the second portal (column 5, lines 47-50, 63-65, column 6, lines 10-15, 33-37, column 8, lines 25-36, 47-50).

3. Claims 6-7, 23-24, 41-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hara et al. (hereinafter "Hara", 6,560,221 B1) in view of Newlin et al. (hereinafter "Newlin", 6,011,909) and in further view of Rosenberg et al. (hereinafter "Rosenberg", 6,101,530).

As per claims 6, 23, 41, Hara, in view of Newlin, does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 4, wherein:

At least one of said second devices providing services comprises one or more web servers providing services. However, the use and advantages for using web servers is well known to one skilled in the relevant art at the time the invention was made as evidenced by Rosenberg (column 3, lines 25-30, column 5, lines 1-3, 38-41, 44-46, Abstract).

Art Unit: 2157

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate a web server in Hara's method allowing a web page to be sent to the requesting client.

As per claims 7, 24, 42, Hara, in view of Newlin, does not explicitly disclose the method of claim 6, wherein a service provided by at least one of the devices connected to the second network comprises a web site service. In an analogous art, Rosenberg discloses the web server sending web pages (websites) to the client (column 3, lines 25-30, column 5, lines 1-3, 38-41, 44-46, Abstract).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to implement or incorporate a device connected to the second network comprising a web site service in Hara's method allowing a web page to be sent to the requesting client.

Application/Control Number: 09/633,289 Page 10

Art Unit: 2157

## Response to Arguments

## The Office notes the following arguments:

- (a) Hara does not disclose "A method for providing user interfaces in a first network including first devices interconnected via a communication medium and at least one interface device connecting said first network to at least a second network providing services, the user interfaces for controlling the devices that are currently connected to the first network and furnishing services of the second network to at least a user," as required by claim 1.
- (b) Hara does not disclose "in each of one or more devices in the first network (a) obtaining information from one or more of said first devices currently connected to the first network" as claimed by claim 1.
- (c) Hara discloses no user interface.
- (d) Hara does not disclose first network devices connected to second external network devices.

#### In response to:

(a) and (d) In response to applicant's arguments, the recitation has not been given patentable weight because the recitation occurs in the preamble. A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See *In re Hirao*, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and *Kropa v. Robie*, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

(b) Hara discloses obtaining information from one or more of said first devices currently connected to the first network, said information including device information

(column 5, lines 47-50, 63-65, column 6, lines 10-15, 33-37, column 8, lines 25-36, 47-

50).

(c) Applicant's argument has been considered but is moot in view of the new

ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara N Burgess whose telephone number is (703) 305-3366. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Ettinene can be reached on (703) 308-7562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Barbara N Burgess Examiner Art Unit 2157

\*\*\*

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100